

From: Environment + Community Service
Sent: 26 October 2011 22:28
To: Major Sites Team
Cc: cc Strategic planning, Major Sites, Chief Exec Office,
Subject: RE: Draft Council Minutes
 Ch. Exec Office

Just to add to point it is very important that we can demonstrate an independent assessment by a qualified body. If we are unclear who can do such an exercise we should discuss asap.

Environment and Community Services
 South Gloucestershire Council

From: Chief Exec Office
Sent: 26 October 2011 11:16
To: Major Sites Team
Cc: cc Strategic planning, Major Sites, Chief Exec Office, Environment + Community Services
Subject: RE: Draft Council Minutes

Hi MST

Yes I agree we should ask 'Government' it would leave an obvious gap if we didn't.

In his reply to Cllr Scott's supplementary question at the Council meeting, John Calway agreed to an external validation of the Airfield viability prior to us coming to a final view. We will need to identify someone who could review all the material once it is available.

Happy to discuss,
 Chief Exec Office

From: Major Site Team
Sent: 26 October 2011 11:00
To: Chief Exec Office
Cc: cc Environment + Community Service Strategic planning, Major Sites Team (MST)
Subject: RE: Draft Council Minutes

Dear all

We are working on the plan as we speak, which will identify the various work streams needed to review the individual elements on the report, and some, like the business workshop which tests the input/views of the aerospace sector are already taking place today. MST is co-ordinating this piece of work and bringing together a report. As part of that we will also clearly identify the areas where we do not internally have the expertise to review the report and options for how we may address this.

Chief Exec Office
 One obvious missing element at this stage, is the ability for us to answer the question about the 'need to protect the airfield as a national asset'. Do you think it is appropriate for us to ask Minister's for their position in respect of this issue?

Thanks

MST

From: Chief Exec Office
Sent: 26 October 2011 10:49
To: Major sites team / Strategic planning
Cc: Environment + Community Services
Subject: FW: Draft Council Minutes

With regard to the question to council on Filton Airfield (2011/21), Chief Exec Office advises that the question went well and Cllr Scott was pleased with the openness but is still committed to an external review.

Could you both think about how to achieve this. Chief Exec Office is happy to discuss further with you both and

With regards, Chief Exec Office
 Environment + Community Services

South Gloucestershire Council

From: Legal + Democratic Services
Sent: 24 October 2011 15:10
To: Chief Officers; Councillors - Cabinet;
Subject: Draft Minutes Corporate Finance / Legal + Democratic Services

Dear all,

Please find attached the minutes for the special and normal Council meeting.

Officers, please remember that responses need to be provided within 1 month of the meeting. Please copy Legal + Democratic Services into responses so that she is able to update the action sheet for the next meeting

Actions as follows please:

Minute Number	What Action	By who (exec cllr for information)
39	Petition for Parish Review	Legal
40	Member working group to meet with Royal Mail	to e mail groups for nominees Legal
41	Petition relating to speed restriction in Siston Petition relating to Filton airfield	Neil to log on petitions system and then despatch to dept officers
42 – supp questions:		Chief Exec Office Environment + Community Services
21	External expertise to be used where necessary for BAE report	
22	Proposals for monitoring spend of funding provided to CBS and other groups	
25	Any slippage in programme to be notified to ward Councillors	
26	Webpage to be updated	
29	Written response required	
43	Changes to web to reflect the new committee name and update of constitution	for web pages, to add to file for next update Legal A.T.

Kind regards

Legal + Democratic
Services